LETTERS 2012 Vol. 14, No. 10 2450–2453

ORGANIC

Four Nucleophilic Additions to Alkenynedioic Acid Derivatives in Tandem; Efficient One-Pot Synthesis of Bicyclo[4.2.0]octenols

Takeshi Hata, Haduki Imade, and Hirokazu Urabe*

Department of Biomolecular Engineering, Graduate School of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259-B-59 Nagatsuta-cho, Midori-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa 226-8501, Japan

hurabe@bio.titech.ac.jp

Received March 12, 2012

ABSTRACT

When alkenynedioic acid derivatives were treated with a Grignard reagent, tandem cyclization and the incorporation of two molecules of the Grignard reagent occurred to give stereodefined bicyclo[4.2.0]octenols via four nucleophilic additions.

Intermolecular nucleophilic addition to α , ω -unsaturated carbonyl compounds 1 (first addition in Scheme 1) followed by spontaneous cyclization (second addition) from 2 to 3 is a useful synthetic method to prepare cyclic compounds 4, often in a regio- and stereoselective manner.^{1,2} This transformation has found numerous applications by employing various combinations of nucleophiles and unsaturated carbonyl compounds.³ Although

it is likely that intermediate enolate 3 is capable of nucleophilic addition to the neighboring carbonyl group (third addition) to give cyclobutanones (or cyclobutenones) 5, to the best of our knowledge, such a route has not been documented. Here we report this alternative path that features the third addition and even a subsequent fourth addition with the excess nucleophile, ultimately providing bicyclic compound 6 in one pot. Scheme 2 summarizes the overall reaction consisting of four consecutive nucleophilic additions, which should satisfy current criteria for highly efficient synthetic transformations.

During our studies on the cyclization of α, ω -unsaturated carbonyl compounds $1⁴$ we examined their reactions with various organometallic reagents in the presence or absence of transition metal catalysts. When enyne 7 was

⁽¹⁾ For reviews on the preparation of cyclic compounds by double nucleophilic additions, see: (a) Tietze, L. F.; Brasche, G.; Gericke, K. M. Domino Reactions in Organic Synthesis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2006; pp 48-156. (b) Ihara, M.; Fukumoto, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 1010–1022. (c) Guo, H.-C.; Ma, J.-A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 354–366. (d) Davies, S. G.; Smith, A. D.; Price, P. D. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 2833–2891.

⁽²⁾ For general reviews on tandem reactions, see: (a) Tietze, L. F.; Brasche, G.; Gericke, K. M. Domino Reactions in Organic Synthesis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2006. (b) Shindoh, N.; Takemoto, Y.; Takasu, K. Chem.-Eur. J. 2009, 15, 12168-12179. (c) Alba, A.-N.; Companyó, X.; Viciano, M.; Rios, R. Curr. Org. Chem. 2009, 13, 1432–1474. (d) Enders, D.; Grondal, C.; Hüttl, M. R. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1570– 1581. (e) Padwa, A.; Bur, S. K. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 5341–5378. (f) Nicolaou, K. C.; Edmonds, D. J.; Bulger, P. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7134–7186. (g) de Meijere, A.; von Zezschwitz, P.; Bräse, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 413–422. (h) Nicolaou, K. C.; Montagnon, T.; Snyder, S. A. Chem. Commun. 2003, 551–564. (i) Poli, G.; Giambastiani, G.; Heumann, A. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 5959–5989. (j) Bunce, R. A. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 13103–13159. (k) Parsons, P. J.; Penkett, C. S.; Shell, A. J. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 195-206. (1) Tietze, L. F. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 115–136. (m) Tietze, L. F.; Beifuss, U. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 131–163.

⁽³⁾ For recent examples, see: (a) Wang, X.-F.; An, J.; Zhang, X.-X.; Tan, F.; Chen, J.-R.; Xiao, W.-J. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 808–811. (b) Sánchez-Larios, E.; Holmes, J. M.; Daschner, C. L.; Gravel, M. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5772–5775. (c) Tan, J.; Xu, X.; Zhang, L.; Li, Y.; Liu, Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2868–2872. (d) Davies, S. G.; Mujtaba, N.; Roberts, P. M.; Smith, A. D.; Thomson, J. E. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1959–1962. (e) Oswald, C. L.; Peterson, J. A.; Lam, H. W. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4504–4507. (f) Sanchez-Larios, E.; Gravel, M. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 7536–7539.

^{(4) (}a) Hata, T.; Hirone, N.; Sujaku, S.; Nakano, K.; Urabe, H. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 5031–5033. (b) Hata, T.; Sujaku, S.; Hirone, N.; Nakano, K.; Imoto, J.; Imade, H.; Urabe, H. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 14593-14602.

Scheme 1. Multiple Nucleophilic Additions Leading to Differ-
Scheme 3 ent Ring Systems

phy of fluorene analogue 10 (Table 1, entry 9), whose ORTEP drawing is shown in Figure 1.⁷

Additional cyclobutenols prepared by this method are shown in Table 1. Primary alkyl Grignard reagents such as butyl-, octyl-, phenethyl-, and 4-pentenylmagnesium bromides always gave the desired products $14-17$ as a single stereoisomer (Table 1, entries $1-4$). The more sterically hindered isopropyl Grignard reagent was equally effective, giving 18 in good yield (Table 1, entry 5). Aryl Grignard reagents also took part in the cyclization to give 8 and 19 (Table 1, entries 6 and 7). When diester 11 was used, the

simply treated with excess phenylmagnesium bromide,⁵ the starting material disappeared and a considerable amount of a new product was recovered (Scheme 3). The spectroscopic properties of this product suggested it to be bicyclic cyclobutenol 8, which corresponds to the aforementioned product 6 in Scheme 1.6 No other isomeric products including cyclobutenone 9 were observed in the crude reaction mixture by ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectroscopy. Although the stereochemistry at the C1 and C2 positions of 8 could be assigned by the coupling constant between these hydrogens ($J = 10.2$ Hz) from the ¹H NMR spectrum, these nydrogens $(J = 10.2 \text{ Hz})$ from the 'H NMK spectrum,
the 1,8-relationship in 8 was equivocal. The unambiguous structure was ultimately confirmed by X-ray crystallogra-
the 1,8-relationship in 8 was equivocal. The unam

(6) Recent reports on the synthesis of bicyclo[4.2.0]octenes: (a) Fürstner, A.; Schlecker, A.; Lehmann, C. W. Chem. Commun. 2007, 4277–4279. (b) Bajracharya, G. B.; Nakamura, I.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 892–897. (c) Li, H.; Hsung, R. P.; DeKorver, K. A.; Wei, Y. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3780–3783. (d) Commandeur, M.; Commandeur, C.; De Paolis, M.; Edmunds, A. J. F.; Maienfisch, P.; Ghosez, L. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 3359–3362. (e) Korotvicka, A.; Hybelbauerova, S.; Kotora, M. Synlett 2009, 2445-2448. (f) Koldobskii, A. B.; Solodova, E. V.; Godovikov, I. A.; Kalinin, V. N. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 9555–9560. (g) Oh, C. H.; Kim, A. Synlett 2008, 777–781.

⁽⁷⁾ The data have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (file no. CCDC 762549) and can be obtained free of charge via www. ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

⁽⁵⁾ The 1,4-addition of Grignard reagent to a 2-alkenoate without copper catalyst has been precedented: Munch-Petersen, J. Org. Synth. 1961, 41, 60–64. In Organic Syntheses; Baumgarten, H. E., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1973; Coll. Vol. 5, pp $762-766$. When 2 equiv of Grignard reagent was used instead, ketone 9 and product 8 could not be isolated. Even if a copper catalyst (10 mol% to 7) was added with 4 equiv of PhMgBr to promote the first conjugate addition, unexpectedly, the yield of 8 decreased to 28% (CuI) or 30% (CuCN).

Table 1. Synthesis of Various Bicyclic Cyclobutenols According to Scheme 3

^{*a*} Grignard reagent (3.6 equiv) was used. ^{*b*} Grignard reagent (6.2 equiv) was used.

first intermolecular addition of the Grignard reagent occurred exclusively at the olefinic bond to give similar product 20 as above.⁸ Thus, discrimination between olefinic and acetylenic esters is possible in the conjugate addition of Grignard reagents. In Table 1, entries 9 and 10 show substrates having different tether substituents including dithiane.^{9,10} The stereochemistry of bicyclic

cyclobutenols in Table 1 was assigned on the basis of that determined for 10.

The proposed stereochemical course of the reaction is shown in Scheme 4. Conjugate addition of the Grignard reagent to the olefinic ester in 7 leads to enolate 22, wherein the small olefinic hydrogen and the large Ph group occupy the axial and equatorial positions, respectively, in a chairlike alignment. From this conformation, the ring closure occurs to generate allenolate 23, which undergoes an intramolecular addition to the ester carbonyl group to give cyclobutenone 24. Finally, stereoselective 1,2-addition of the Grignard reagent to 24 from its convex face furnishes cyclobutenol 8 with the specified stereochemistry. It should be emphasized that overall, this reaction creates four new carbon-carbon bonds in a stereoselective manner, incorporating three components in one pot.¹¹

The bicyclic cyclobutenols described above appear to be susceptible to a retro-aldol reaction, as evidenced by the fact that 8 collapsed to cyclohexane 25 upon treatment with t-BuOK in THF even at -78 °C (Scheme 5).¹² Thus, this transformation offers a stereoselective route to synthesize polysubstituted cyclohexane derivatives.

This sequence turned out to be the major pathway when alkadienediester was used instead of alkenynediester as a substrate, as shown in Scheme 6. Following the addition of the Grignard reagent to alkadienedioate 26, a diolefinic counterpart of 11, the expected cyclobutanol resulting from the hydrolytic workup of 29 was not isolated.^{13,14} Instead, 29 apparently suffered the retro-aldol reaction as

⁽⁸⁾ The reaction of the corresponding diethyl ester instead of 11 failed, affording a complex mixture of products.

⁽⁹⁾ For reviews on dithianes, see: (a) Seebach, D. Synthesis 1969, 17– 36. (b) Gröbel, B.-T.; Seebach, D. Synthesis 1977, 357-402. (c) Seebach, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1979, 18, 239–258. (d) Page, P. C. B.; van Niel, M. B.; Prodger, J. C. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 7643–7677. (e) Kolb, M. In Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis; Paquette, L. A., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, 1995; Vol. 5, pp 2983-2989. (f) Yus, M.; Najera, C.; Foubelo, F. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 6147–6212. For a recent example of conversion of dithianes, see: (g) Kim, H.; Park, Y.; Hong, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7577–7581.

⁽¹⁰⁾ As the cyclization of some substrates having a non- or monosubstituted tether was unsuccessful, the geminal disubstitution to the tether portion appears essential in this reaction. For a review on the geminal-disubstituent effect, see: (a) Jung, M. E.; Piizzi, G. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 1735–1766. For the same effect by dithiane, see: (b) Kim, H.; Park, Y.; Hong, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7577–7581. In addition, similar substrates with a gem-disubstituted ethylene tether did not give the corresponding bicyclo[3.2.0]heptenols.

Scheme 6. Cyclohexanes Prepared from Alkadienedioate and Grignard Reagent

demonstrated in Scheme 5, giving ketoester 30 directly with good diastereoselectivity. The depicted relative stereochemistry of major isomer 30 was deduced on the basis of the ¹H NMR coupling constant for the hydrogen at the 4-position (triplet, $J = 10.8$ Hz).

In conclusion, four consecutive nucleophilic additions to alkenynedioic acid derivatives, incorporating two molecules of Grignard reagent, proceeded in one pot to give stereodefined bicyclo[4.2.0]octenols. Further investigations into this new type of tandem cyclization as well as synthetic applications of the products are now in progress.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (No. 21350027) from JSPS and a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (No. 20750071, to T.H.) from MEXT, Japan. The authors are grateful to Professor Kohtaro Osakada and Dr. Makoto Tanabe of this institute for X-ray crystallography of 10.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures and spectroscopic properties for new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

(14) trans-Fused bicyclo[4.2.0]octanes are allowed structures: (a) Laureillard, J. Tetrahedron 1979, 35, 1633-1648. (b) Meinwald, J.; Tufariello, J. J.; Hurst, J. J. J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 2914–2919.

⁽¹¹⁾ For reviews on multicomponent reactions, see: (a) Multicomponent Reactions; Zhu, J., Bienaymé, H., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005. (b) Candeias, N. R.; Montalbano, F.; Cal, P. M. S. D.; Gois, P. M. P. Chem. $Rev.$ 2010, 110, 6169–6193. (c) Arndtsen, B. A. Chem. - Eur. J. 2009, 15, 302–313. (d) Toure, B. B.; Hall, D. G. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 4439–4486. (e) González-López, M.; Shaw, J. T. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 164-189. (f) Dömling, A. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 17-89. (g) Ramón, D. J.; Yus, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1602–1634. (h) Nair, V.; Rajesh, C.; Vinod, A. U.; Bindu, S.; Sreekanth, A. R.; Mathen, J. S.; Balagopal, L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 899–907. (i) Zhu, J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 1133–1144. (j) von Wangelin, A. J.; Neumann, H.; Gördes, D.; Klaus, S.; Strübing, D.; Beller, M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2003, 9, 4286-4294. (k) Dömling, A.; Ugi, I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3168-3210. (1) Posner, G. H. Chem. Rev. 1986, 86, 831–844.

⁽¹²⁾ For ring opening of a 4-hydroxy-1-cyclobutene-1-carboxylate with base, see: (a) Mislin, G. L.; Miesch, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 68, 433– 441. (b) Stelmakh, A.; Stellfeld, T.; Kalesse, M. *Org. Lett*. **2006**, *8*, 3485– 3488.

⁽¹³⁾ The cyclization of 8-oxo-2-alkenoates involving the intermediates similar to 27 and 28 has been reported; see: (a) Takasu, K.; Ueno, M.; Ihara, M. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 4667–4672. (b) Takasu, K.; Misawa, K.; Ihara, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 8489–8491.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.